IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 4366 of 2005

Between:

1 M/s.Ujwala Wines, IL-24, Plot No.1, Sy.No.42, Pet Basheerbad, Ranga Reddy District, rep., by its
Licencee M.Ravikanth Reddy, s/o Rajiv Reddy, aged about 32 yrs. Licence No.279
2 M/s.Chandana Wines, IL-24, 5-2, Kompally, Ranga Reddy District, rep., by its Licencee
J.Surender Reddy, s/o Malla Reddy, aged about 32 years Licence No.377
3 M/s.Manju Wines, IL-24, No.148, Medchal Town, Ranga Reddy District, rep., by its licencee
T.Shivakumar Reddy, s/o Chandra Reddy, aged about 28 years, Licence No.376
.... PETITIONER(S)

AND

1 The Proh. & Excise Superintendent, Rangareddy District at Hyderabad.
2 The District Collector, Rangareddy District at Hyderabad.
3 The Commissioner of Proh. & Excise, Govt. of A.P., at Hyderabad.
4 The Government of A.P., rep., by its Prl. Secretary, Revenue (Excise-II) Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad. **...RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring GO Ms.No.184 Revenue (Excise-II) Department, Dt.07/02/2005 of the respondent No.4 as illegal, arbitrary, unjust and set aside the same and grant stay of all further proceedings in pursuance of GO Ms.No.184 Revenue (Excise.II) Department, dt.07/02/2005 including selection of new licences and pass such other order or orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.AMARNATH GOUD THODUPUNURI

Counsel for the Respondent No.: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

It is submitted by learned counsel for petitioner and admitted to by the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise that the subject matter of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in W.A. No. 344, 345, 346 and 347 of 2005 dated 22-3-2005.

In the circumstances, following the said judgment this writ petition is disposed of by and in terms of the observations and directions made therein. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

25TH April, 2005

tvk

To

1 The Proh. & Excise Superintendent, Rangareddy District at Hyderabad.
2 The District Collector, Rangareddy District at Hyderabad.
3 The Commissioner of Proh. & Excise, Govt. of A.P., at Hyderabad.
4 The Government of A.P., rep., by its Prl.Secretary, Revenue (Excise-II) Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

5) 2 CD copies

6) 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise, High Court of A.P. Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)